




UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/894,396	06/28/2001	Kazuhiro Okanoue	P/3201-37	6447
7590	01/20/2006		EXAMINER	
STEVEN I. WEISBURD DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 41st FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714				D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2683		
DATE MAILED: 01/20/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

09 - 894396

6-28-2001

OKANOUE

P3201-37

EXAMINER

D'AGOSTA

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

2683 11292005

DATE MAILED:


Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Please find attached the signed IDS received 1-25-2005.

The examiner notes the following:

1. Initially, several pieces of art listed on the original IDS were unacknowledged since the examiner believed he was not provided with a translated abstract and/or a concise explanation of relevance [either on a separate piece of paper or incorporated into the specification, as per 37 CFR 1.98, 3(i)].
2. The IDS received 1-25-2005 states that "...The relevance of these patents is discussed on pages 1-3 of the present specification. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner consider these...."
3. The examiner notes that the "relevance" discussed in the specification provides very little information as to the true interworkings of these foreign patents, hence the examiner's consideration is cursory at best. Therefore, it is the examiner's position that very little weight can be given to his signature on the IDS reflecting his consideration of these patents.


Stephen D'Agosta
Primary Examiner
11-29-2005

STEVE M. D'AGOSTA
PRIMARY EXAMINER